

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Roderick Webber

v.

Civil No. 18-cv-931-LM

Edward Deck, et al.

**O R D E R**

Plaintiff Roderick Webber has submitted numerous filings in this case that do not comply with the local rules governing page-length (LR 7.1(a)(3)), fonts and line-spacing (LR 5.1(a)). In light of Webber's pro se status, the court has not yet stricken any of Webber's filings for noncompliance with these rules and has merely reminded Webber to comply with these requirements in future filings. See Orders dated June 21 and 27, 2019.

With respect to these local rule requirements, Webber has filed a motion to clarify the requirements of Local Rules 5.1(a) and 7.1(a)(3). See doc. no. 121. In his motion, Webber requests that the court interpret the Local Rules in such a way so as to allow him to submit far lengthier filings than allowed under the plain meaning of both rules. For example, Webber proposes that he be permitted to alter the spacing between words and use as small a font as possible. The court denies Webber's

request for permission to escape the requirements of the Local Rules. And, to be clear, the court finds that the request itself (doc. no. 121) does not comply with Local Rule 5.1(a), as it is not double-spaced.<sup>1</sup>

Additionally, Webber has filed four objections to pending motions to dismiss his case. See doc. nos. 106, 116, 117 and 118. These objections fail to comply with Local Rules 5.1(a) and 7.1(a)(3). That is, each objection violates the page-length and/or double-spacing requirements. For these reasons, all four objections are stricken from the record. On or before July 17, 2019, Webber may re-file his objections to the motions to dismiss in a manner that complies with the Local Rules.

This case is in the relatively early stages and yet there are already 123 docket entries. The significant number of docket entries is largely due to Webber's repeated and often unnecessary filings. Webber shall make reasonable efforts in the future to avoid both unnecessary filings and to comply with the Local Rules.

Defendants Donald J. Trump, Donald J. Trump for President Inc., Edward Deck, Fred Doucette, and XMark LLC move the court to extend the deadline to file their replies to Webber's

---

<sup>1</sup>In future filings, Webber may use the style of defendants' filings (i.e., font, line-spacing, etc.) as a guide for how to comply with these rules.

objections to their motions to dismiss. See doc. no. 120. Because these documents are stricken from the record, defendants' motion is denied as moot. Defendants may file replies to Webber's objections to their motions to dismiss, when re-filed, in accordance with the Local Rules.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Webber's motion for clarification in regard to Local Rule 5.1(a), which seeks permission to submit future filings in accordance with the font and spacing contained in that document (doc. no. 121), is denied. Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file their reply briefs (doc. no. 120) is denied as moot.

Document numbers 106, 116, 117 and 118 are stricken from the record. Webber may re-file those documents in accordance with this order **on or before July 17, 2019**.

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Landya McCafferty  
United States District Judge

July 2, 2019

cc: Counsel and pro se party of record